

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 205-6560
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
COLTON BRYANT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

COLTON BRYANT,
Plaintiff,

vs.

EXCEL CAR STEREO, INC.; KUN JA
LEE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE 2006 KUN
JA LEE TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff COLTON BRYANT ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants EXCEL CAR
STEREO, INC.; KUN JA LEE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE 2006 KUN JA LEE TRUST;
and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
diagnosed with spinal paraplegia and osteoarthritis, and is substantially limited in his

1 ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in
2 public.

3 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
4 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a window tinting shop
5 (“Business”) located at or about 1623 Sepulveda Blvd., Unit 1A., Torrance, California.

6 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
7 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
8 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
9 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
10 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such
11 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
12 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

13 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
14 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
15 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
16 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
17 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

18 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
19 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
20 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
21 and severally.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
24 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
25 *seq.*).

26 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
27 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
28

1 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
2 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

3 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

4 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). Defendants
5 reside in this district, Orange, California.

6 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7 10. In or about January of 2025, Plaintiff went to the Business.

8 11. The Business is a window tinting shop business establishment, open to the
9 public, and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its
10 operation. Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

11 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
12 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
13 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

14 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
15 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 16 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
17 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
18 failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the
19 International Symbol of Accessibility.
- 20 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
21 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
22 failed to post required signage such as "Van Accessible," "Minimum
23 Fine \$250" and "Unauthorized Parking."
- 24 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
25 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
26 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
27 persons with disabilities as there were no "NO PARKING" markings
28 painted on the surface of the access aisle.

- d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to paint the ground as required.
- e. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space identification signs to be visible.
- f. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with the International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.
- g. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants failed to maintain the blue borderlines and blue hatched lines paint on the ground as required, resulting in the markings becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection. Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or

otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

- c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA

1 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
2 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

3 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
4 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
5 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
6 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
7 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
8 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
9 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
10 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
11 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
12 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

13 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
14 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
15 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
16 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
17 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
18 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
19 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...”
20 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

21 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with
22 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the
23 signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed
24 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles
25 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special
26 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s
27 expense...”
28

1 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
2 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
3 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
4 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
5 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
6 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
7 11B-502.3.3.

8 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no
9 "NO PARKING" markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, Defendants
10 failed to maintain the blue borderlines and blue hatched lines painted on the parking
11 surface, resulting in the markings becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

12 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
13 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
14 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
15 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
16 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
17 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
18 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
19 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
20 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

21 28. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the International Symbol of
22 Accessibility painted on the surface as required, resulting in the markings becoming
23 severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

24 29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
25 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
26 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

1 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
2 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
3 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
4 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
5 51 and 52.

6 37. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
7 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
8 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

9 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

11 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 39. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
14 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
15 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
16 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
17 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
18 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
19 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
20 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
21 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
22 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

23 40. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
24 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
25 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
26 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
27 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
28 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be
2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an
5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each
21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

1 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
2 persons with disabilities.

3 47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
4 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
5 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
6 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
7 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
8 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
9 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
10 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **NEGLIGENCE**

13 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
14 paragraphs in this complaint.

15 49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
16 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
17 to the Plaintiff.

18 50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
19 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

20 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
21 has suffered damages.

22 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
24 Defendants as follows:

25 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
26 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

27 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
28 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
2 practices;

3 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
4 action;

5 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10
11 Dated: April 28, 2025

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12
13
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28